1 2	RECEI FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION COMMISSION SECRETA	VED LECTION SSION ARIAT
3	In the Matter of 2005 APR 14	
4) . room wly id	IA &
5)	· ·
6		ie :
7 8	Apex Healthcare, Inc. James Chao MUR 5405	/ E
9) 1010 5405	
10	j j	
11)	Ą
12	CENTED AT CONTINUE IS DEPONDE #4	.2.
13 14	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT # 2	, r
15	I. ACTIONS RECOMMENDED: Accept the attached proposed conciliation agreement	1.7
	·' .	
16	with Apex Healthcare, Inc. and James Chao.	•
17	II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>	
18	On February 8, 2005, the Commission found reason to believe that Apex Healthcare, Inc.	•
19	("APEX") violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a) and 441f, provisions of the Federal Election Campaign	·
20 ·	Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and that James Chao, its president and sole shareholder,	·. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21	knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a) and 441f. At the same time, the	91. .
22	Commission authorized entering into pre-probable cause conciliation with both APEX and James	
23	Chao (collectively "Respondents").	and the second
24	The Commission based its findings on the complaint filed in this matter and an additional	
25	submission (the "Submission") made by Respondents after filing their response. These	THE THE PARTY OF T
26	documents provided the foundation for the Commission's finding reason to believe that APEX	***
27	and Chao used corporate funds to reimburse a total of \$75,500 in contributions in the names of	

APR 1 4 2005

On February 8, 2005, the Commission also: 1) found reason to believe that the conduit contributors violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f, but took no further action; 2) found no reason to believe that Daniel Hynes, Hynes for Senate and Jeffrey C. Wagner, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f and took no action with respect to violations of 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a); 3) found reason to believe that Hynes for Senate and Jeffrey C. Wagner, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b) but took no further action; and 4) took no action against three political committees identified by Respondents as having received contributions in 2002 in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) and 441f.

MUR 5405		•
General Couns	sel's Report	#2
Page 2	,	

others in 2002 and 2003 and used corporate funds to make an in-kind contribution of \$1,500 to a

- 2 federal candidate in 2003.
- On February 25, 2005, this Office notified counsel for Respondents of the Commission's
- findings and its offer to engage in pre-probable cause conciliation. After reviewing the
- 5 conciliation agreement approved by the Commission, counsel for Respondents met with this
- 6 Office on March 16, 2005. After several follow-up conversations, this Office and the
- 7 Respondents reached an agreement on proposed settlement terms.

III. CONCILIATION AGREEMENT

PAGE 3 HAS BEEN DELETED

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Accept the attached conciliation agreement with Apex Healthcare, Inc. and James Chao;
 - 2. Approve the appropriate letters; and
 - 3. Close the file.

Lawrence H. Norton General Counsel

Lawrence Calvert, Jr.

Deputy Associate General Counsel for Enforcement

Ann Marie Terzaken
Assistant General Counsel

Adam J. Schwartz

Attorney

Attachment

1. Apex Healthcare, Inc. and James Chao Proposed Conciliation Agreement